

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address Commissi State & Fratents and Trademarks
Washington Let 2 (24)
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKEL NO CONFIRMATION		
09.811,365	03 16 2001	Wayne V. Sorin	5489P038X 2223		
7:	590 03.07.2003				
Lester J. Vincent Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER HEALY, BRIAN		
			2874		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.  O9/811,365  Applicant(s)  SORIN, WAYNE V		Applicant(s)				
				V.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brian M. Heal	у	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, h within the statutory vill apply and will exp cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be minimum of thirty (30) o pire SIX (6) MONTHS fro on to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timelom the mailing date of this c NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is noi	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) 40-50 is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers	·						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊡ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/022,413.							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
			•		I application)			
<ul><li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li></ul>								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10 Other:								
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office			Brian Healy				

Application/Control Number: 09/811,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 40-50 are allowed.

None of the references of record teaches or suggests spectral monitor with an optical fiber with multiple modes; a mode coupler coupled to the optical fiber that creates a coherent coupling between a first and second mode and a core blocking member coupled to the optical fiber with the core blocking member configured to block those portions of the first mode that are not coupled to the second mode. Also what is not shown or taught is a polarization independent independent spectral monitor including first and second mode couplers coupled to an optical fiber with multiple modes with the first and second mode couplers with first and second acoustical waves that are orthogonal to each other.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et. al.,

U.S.P. No.4,915,468.

Kim '468 teaches (Figs. 1-40) a method and apparatus for measuring the power spectrum (or modal intensities) of an optical signal comprising: a signal light source 3600, an acousto-optic means 3630 coupled to a multimode optical fiber 3654,3660 which is also performs mode coupling between core/cladding modes and is used with mode coupler 3680,3676 with polarization filters 3692,3690 wherein a pair of detectors 3700,3702 detects/measures the power or intensity and phase of first and second coupled modes using processor means 3730,3710,3712 and uses the received results to change the signal to an acousto-optical means 3630 using feedback 3704,3706, which clearly, fully meets applicant's claimed limitations.

The following references are also cited by the Examiner as being pertinent prior art: Kim et. al., U.S.P. No.4,828,350(Figs.1-12), Beasley, U.S.P. No.4,725,115 (Figs.1-4), Kim et. al., U.S.P. No.6,253,002 (Figs.1-27b), Kim et. al., U.S.P. No.6,233,379 (Figs.1-27b) and Kim et. al., U.S.P. No.6,021,237 (Figs.1-7D).

Any questions concerning this office action should be directed to:

Brian M. Healy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 2874

Phone: (703)308-2693

Brian Healy Primary Emeriner

Bu Head